Prof. Dr. Hans-Peter Büchler
Institute for Theoretical Physics III, University of Stuttgart

November 29th, 2023
WS 2023/24

Problem 7.1: Skating

[**Oral** | 1 pt(s)]

ID: ex_skating:sm2324

Learning objective

In this exercise we investigate the common myth that ice is slippery due to a thin liquid film that forms under pressure.

The popular sport of skating relies on the low friction between the blades of skates and the ice. According to a widespread belief this low friction is due to a thin film of *liquid* water separating blade and ice. This liquid film may be the result of the high pressure exerted by the blades. Assume that the temperature of the ice is $T = -5\,^\circ\mathrm{C}$, the slope of the phase boundary that separates ice and water is $\frac{\partial p_{fs}}{\partial T} = -138\,\mathrm{at/deg}$ (Why the sign?), and the skater's weight is $M = 69\,\mathrm{kg}$. Is the explanation given above reasonable?

Hint: For further information on this issue see
`pubs.aip.org/physicstoday/article/58/12/50/394684`.

Problem 7.2: Gibbs paradox

[**Oral** | 7 pt(s)]

ID: ex_gibbs_paradox:sm2324

Learning objective

Entropy is one of the most subtle concepts in physics and responsible for a great deal of confusion. In this exercise we examine the concept of entropy — especially the entropy of an ideal gas — in more detail to gain a deeper understanding of some oddities that arise when gases are allowed to mix; this is usually referred to as "Gibbs paradox".

We start with some preliminaries:

a) Read and understand p. 77-79 in the script by G. Blatter. Show that the entropy of mixing for two boxes (V_1, N_1 and V_2, N_2; both temperature T and pressure p) of *different* ideal gases reads **1**pt(s)

$$\Delta S = S_{\text{mixture}} - (S_1 + S_2) = k_\mathrm{B}\left[N_1 \ln\frac{V}{V_1} + N_2 \ln\frac{V}{V_2}\right] \quad \text{where} \quad V = V_1 + V_2\,.$$

b) Employ the second law of thermodynamics to argue that $\Delta S = 0$ if the ideal gases in box 1 and 2 are *identical*. **1**pt(s)

Hint: Contrive a gedankenexperiment which allows a *decrease* in entropy.

c) Consider the mixing of two ideal gases (as above) which differ *only* in the colour C of their particles. Let $C = 0$ denote black and $C = 1$ white particles (and $0 < C < 1$ the intermediate **1**pt(s) grey tones). Fix the first gas to have the colour $C_1 = 0$ and sketch the (physically expected) entropy of mixing ΔS as a function of the colour of the particles of the second gas C_2 for $0 \leq C_2 \leq 1$.

This strange behaviour in combination with the expression for ΔS in (a) is usually referred to as *Gibbs paradox*. To scrutinise it, let us try to understand why $\Delta S > 0$ in (a) from a mathematical point of view. In the lecture was shown that the entropy for an ideal gas is given by

$$S(T,V) = Nk_{\mathrm{B}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{3/2} + \ln \left(\frac{V}{V_0} \right) \right] \tag{1}$$

with temperature T, (fixed) particle number N and volume V. A *homogeneous function* f of order k over n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is characterized by

$$f(\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_n) = \lambda^k f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{2}$$

A quantity is called *extensive* if it is homogeneous of order $k = 1$ in its *extensive variables*.

d) Consider V and N as extensive variables. Show that S as given in Eq. (1) is *not* extensive. This calculation is formally ill-defined. Why? **1pt(s)**
Hint: It is no coincidence that in Eq. (1) (and in the script) $S = S(T,V)$ is not a function of N.

e) We can make S extensive if we take into account the N-dependence (which formally is hidden in the integration constants T_0 and V_0). Show this by a careful derivation of $S = S(T,V,N)$. **1pt(s)**
Hint: Calculate $S(T_1,V_1,N) - S(T_2,V_2,N)$ and show that the most general entropy function reads

$$S(T,V,N) = Nk_{\mathrm{B}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{3/2} + \ln \left(\frac{V}{V_0} \right) \right] + k_{\mathrm{B}} f(N) \tag{3}$$

where f is an arbitrary function of N.

f) Obviously the extensivity of S depends on f. *Demand* $S(T,V,N)$ to be extensive in V and N. Show that this leads to the functional equation **1pt(s)**

$$f(\lambda N) = \lambda f(N) - \lambda N \ln \lambda\,. \tag{4}$$

Solve it (**Hint:** Set $N = 1$ and $f(1) \equiv \ln N_0$ with N_0 an arbitrary constant) and show that the now *extensive* entropy $\tilde{S}(T,V,N)$ is given by

$$\tilde{S}(T,V,N) = Nk_{\mathrm{B}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{3/2} + \ln \left(\frac{VN_0}{NV_0} \right) \right]\,. \tag{5}$$

g) Derive the entropy of mixing $\Delta\tilde{S}$ for this entropy and show that it vanishes for a "mixture" of identical gases. When is it legitimate to use Eq. (1) and when is it necessary to use the extensive version Eq. (5)? Can you reproduce the result for ΔS in (a) with the extensive entropy in Eq. (5)? **1pt(s)**

Note: The extensive entropy $\tilde{S}$ can be (and will be) derived in the framework of statistical mechanics quite naturally if one takes into account the *indistinguishability* of particles properly.

Problem 7.3: Permafrost

[**Written** | 2 pt(s)]

ID: ex_permafrost:sm2324

Learning objective

In this exercise we use the source-free heat equation to explain the phenomenon of permafrost.

In the lecture the source-free heat equation was derived; it reads

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{D}\Delta)\, T = 0 \tag{6}$$

with temperature $T = T(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ and thermal diffusivity $\mathcal{D} > 0$. Here we employ Eq. (6) to model the heat flow below the earth's surface. To this end, identify the earth with a (one-dimensional) half space $x \geq 0$ where $x = 0$ corresponds to the surface. The temperature is then a function $T = T(x, t)$ depending on depth x and time t. Assume that the surface temperature is oscillatory (around its mean value)

$$T|_{\text{surface}} = T(x = 0, t) = T_0 \, \cos \omega t \tag{7}$$

due to daily or annual temperature variations.

a) Solve Eq. (6) with the boundary condition in Eq. (7). The solution is ambiguous. Introduce another (reasonable) boundary condition to get rid of this ambiguity. **1**pt(s)
Hint: How did you solve the time evolution of a free particle wave function $\Psi(x, t)$ in quantum mechanics? How does Eq. (6) relate to the free particle Schrödinger equation?

b) The penetration depth for surface variations of the temperature is defined as $\lambda \equiv \sqrt{2\mathcal{D}/\omega}$. What is the ratio of $\lambda_\text{a}/\lambda_\text{d}$ for annual and daily temperature variations? Assume the typical thermal diffusivity $\mathcal{D} = 0.006\,\text{cm}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ and calculate λ_a and λ_d. Give an explanation for the phenomenon of permafrost. **1**pt(s)