Dr. Nicolai Lang
Institute for Theoretical Physics III, University of Stuttgart

July 6th, 2023 SS 2023

Problem 12.1: Propagator in the path integral formalism

[**Oral** | 3 pt(s)]

ID: ex_propagator_path_integral_formalism:qft23

Learning objective

In this problem, you use path integrals to construct the propagator (or two-point correlation function) of a generic quadratic field theory. You show that the propagator is given by the inverse of the quadratic form associated with the field theory.

Consider a generic quadratic theory in momentum space with action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \phi_{i,k} M_k^{ij} \phi_{j,-k} \tag{1}$$

with a symmetric matrix M, which also satisfies $M_k = M_{-k}$. Since the fields ϕ_i are assumed to be real-valued, their Fourier components fulfill the relation $\phi_{i,-k} = \phi_{i,k}^*$, and we can rewrite the action as

$$S = \sum_{k^0 > 0} \phi_{i,k} M_k^{ij} \phi_{j,k}^* \,. \tag{2}$$

Note that the sum over the momenta now only runs over one half of the four-momentum space, that is $k^0 > 0$.

In order to calculate the two-point correlation function, it proves useful to introduce the *generating* functional

$$Z[J, J^*] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left(i \sum_{k^0 > 0} \left[\phi_{i,k} M^{ij} \phi_{j,k}^* + (J_k^i)^* \phi_{i,k} + J_k^j \phi_{j,k}^*\right]\right). \tag{3}$$

a) Calculate the path integral in (3) and show that the generating functional is given by

1pt(s)

$$Z[J, J^*] = \prod_{k^0 > 0} \left(\frac{i\pi}{\det M_k} \right) \exp\left(-iJ_{i,k} (M_k^{-1})^{ij} J_{j,k}^* \right) . \tag{4}$$

Hints:

- Since the matrix M is symmetric, it can be diagonalized by a unitary transformation, that is $M=U^{\dagger}DU$, where D is diagonal and U is unitary.
- After diagonalizing the matrix and decoupling the fields, split each field into its real and imaginary part, $\phi_{i,k} = \phi_{i,k}^{\rm re} + i\phi_{i,k}^{\rm im}$.
- The path integral measure is given by $\mathcal{D}\phi=\prod_{j,k^0>0}d\phi_{j,k}^{\mathrm{re}}d\phi_{j,k}^{\mathrm{im}}$.
- The remaining Gaussian integrals can be calculated by completing the square.

b) Use (3) to relate the two-point correlation function in Fourier space to the generating functional $Z[J, J^*]$ and show that

$$\tilde{D}_F^{ij}(k) = \langle \phi_k^i \phi_k^{j*} \rangle = \left. \frac{1}{Z[0,0]} \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{i,k}^*} \right) \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{j,k}} \right) Z[J,J^*] \right|_{J,J^*=0} . \tag{5}$$

c) Finally, use (4) to show that $\tilde{D}_F^{ij}(k)=i\left(M_k^{-1}\right)^{ij}$.

Problem 12.2: Path integral and Weyl order

[Written | 5 pt(s)]

1^{pt(s)}

1^{pt(s)}

ID: ex_path_integral_weyl_order:qft23

Learning objective

This problem deals with the connection between the transition amplitude of a quantum system and the path integral formalism. In doing so, the peculiarity of non-commuting operators arises which can be resolved by employing a special ordering of operators in the Hamiltonian called *Weyl order*. As an example, you will calculate the transition amplitude for a non-relativistic particle in one dimension.

Consider a general quantum system described by a set of coordinates q^i , conjugate momenta p^i and Hamiltonian H(q,p). In the lecture, it was shown that the transition amplitude $U(q_a,q_b;T)=\langle q_b|\,e^{-iHT}\,|q_a\rangle$ can be computed by breaking the time interval into N short slices of length ε and inserting a complete set of intermediate states between each slice such that

$$U(q_a, q_b; T) = \prod_{i} \prod_{k,l} \int dq_l^i \langle q_{k+1} | e^{-i\varepsilon H} | q_k \rangle , \qquad (6)$$

where $k=0,\ldots,N-1$, $l=1,\ldots,N-1$ and $q_a=q_0$ and $q_b=q_N$. Since $\varepsilon\to 0$, we may expand the exponential as $e^{-i\varepsilon H}=1-i\varepsilon H+\cdots$. In a first step, consider a Hamiltonian which is a pure function of either q or p, that is H(q,p)=f(q) or H(q,p)=g(p).

a) Show that if H is only a function of the coordinates, the matrix element can be written as

$$\langle q_{k+1}|f(q)|q_k\rangle = f\left(\frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{2}\right) \left(\prod_i \int \frac{dp_k^i}{2\pi}\right) \exp\left(i\sum_i p_k^i (q_{k+1}^i - q_k^i)\right). \tag{7}$$

b) Now consider the case when the Hamiltonian only depends on the momenta. Show that

$$\langle q_{k+1} | g(p) | q_k \rangle = \left(\prod_i \int \frac{dp_k^i}{2\pi} \right) g(p_k) \exp\left(i \sum_i p_k^i (q_{k+1}^i - q_k^i)\right). \tag{8}$$

Show also that if H is of the form H(q, p) = f(q) + g(p), its matrix elements can be written as

$$\langle q_{k+1} | H(q,p) | q_k \rangle = \left(\prod_i \int \frac{dp_k^i}{2\pi} \right) H\left(\frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{2}, p_k \right) \exp\left(i \sum_i p_k^i (q_{k+1}^i - q_k^i) \right) .$$
 (9)

Problem Set Version: 1.0 | qft23 Page 2 of 3

1pt(s)

c) In general, (9) is false when there are products of q's and p's in the Hamiltonian as on the left-hand side the order of the (non-commuting) operators matters while on the right-hand side we only deal with numbers. Show this explicitly for $H=p^2q^2$ and show that putting the Hamiltonian into *Weyl order*, that is

$$H(q,p) = p^2 q^2 \mapsto H_W(q,p) = \frac{1}{4} (q^2 p^2 + 2q p^2 q + p^2 q^2),$$
 (10)

resolves this issue. Note that any Hamiltonian can be put into Weyl order by commuting p's and q's on the cost of some extra terms appearing on the right-hand side of (9).

d) Show that for a Weyl-ordered Hamiltonian, the propagator (6) is given by

$$U(q_N, q_0; T) = \left(\prod_{i,k,l} \int dq_l^i \int \frac{dp_k^i}{2\pi} \right) \exp\left[i \sum_k \left(\sum_i p_k^i (q_{k+1}^i - q_k^i) - \varepsilon H\left(\frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{2}, p_k\right)\right)\right]. \tag{11}$$

This expression is the discretized form of

$$U(q_a, q_b; T) = \int \mathcal{D}q(t) \, \mathcal{D}p(t) \exp \left[i \int_0^T dt \, \left(\sum_i p^i \dot{q}^i - H(q, p) \right) \right]$$
 (12)

and defines what we understand as a path integral.

e) As a special case, consider the Hamiltonian $H=p^2/2m+V(q)$ of a single, non-relativistic particle in one dimension. Show that the transition amplitude reads

$$U(q_a, q_b; T) = \left(\frac{1}{C(\varepsilon)} \prod_k \int \frac{dq_k}{C(\varepsilon)}\right) \exp\left[i \sum_k \left(\frac{m}{2} \frac{(q_{k+1} - q_k)^2}{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon V\left(\frac{q_{k+1} + q_k}{2}\right)\right)\right]$$
(13)

and determine $C(\varepsilon)$.

Page **3** of 3